

Letter to the Editor

A Remark on an Algorithm for Best L^p Approximation

Communicated by Oved Shisha

In [1] Karlovitz discusses an algorithm for computing best approximation in the L^p norm when p is an even integer ≥ 4 . This algorithm is also applicable under the weaker hypothesis, $p \geq 2$. In fact, it is a special case of the following descent algorithm for unconstrained optimization.

Let G be a real-valued function on R^n which satisfies the following conditions:

(a) $\inf_{x \in R^n} G(x) > -\infty$,

(b) $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} G(x) = \infty$,

(c) $G \in C^2(R^n)$ and the Hessian matrix $H(x) = (\partial^2 G(x) / \partial x_i \partial x_j)$ has the property that whenever $y^T H(x) y = 0$ for $x, y \in R^n$, then $y = 0$. Equivalently, given any $R > 0$, there is a $\lambda > 0$ such that

$$|y^T H(x) y| \geq \lambda y^T y, \quad y \in R^n, \quad x^T x \leq R^2. \tag{1}$$

Clearly, any function satisfying (a), (b), and (c) takes on its minimum in R^n at unique point z

$$G(z) = \min_{x \in R^n} G(x).$$

Let $x_0 \in R^n$, and let β be a nonzero real number. We define two sequences x_k and y_k in R^n as follows. Given x_k , define y_k as the solution of the equation

$$\beta \nabla G(x_k) = H(x_k) y_k, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots \tag{2}$$

Then set $x_{k+1} = x_k + \lambda_k y_k$, where λ_k is given by

$$G(x_k + \lambda_k y_k) = \min_{-\infty < \lambda < \infty} G(x_k + \lambda y_k). \tag{3}$$

THEOREM. *Let $G: R^n \rightarrow R^1$ satisfy (a), (b), and (c). Then the sequences defined above converge; x_k converges to z and y_k converges to zero.*

Proof. Since

$$G(x_{k+1}) \leq G(x_k + \lambda y_k), \quad \lambda \in R^1, \tag{4}$$

we have $G(x_{k+1}) \leq G(x_k) \leq \dots \leq G(x_0)$. Thus, condition (b) implies that there exists an $R > 0$ such that $x_k^T x_k < R$. Define $M = \max_{x^T x \leq R^2} (\nabla G(x))^T \nabla G(x)$; then from Eq. (2), inequality (1) and the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda y_k^T y_k &\leq \beta M^{1/2} (y_k^T y_k)^{1/2}, \\ y_k^T y_k &\leq \beta^2 M / \lambda^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, both x_k and y_k have convergent subsequences. We suppose that they converge to \bar{x} and \bar{y} , respectively. In view of (2) and (4), it follows that $\beta \nabla G(\bar{x}) = H(\bar{x}) \bar{y}$ and $\bar{y}^T \nabla G(\bar{x}) = 0$. Hence, we obtain $\bar{y}^T H(\bar{x}) \bar{y} = 0$, and so, by property (c), $\bar{y} = 0$. This implies $\nabla G(\bar{x}) = 0$. Thus $\bar{x} = z$.

APPLICATION. Best approximation in L^p for $p \geq 2$.

The function to be minimized is

$$\begin{aligned} G(x_1, \dots, x_n) &= \int_T \left| f(t) - \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \phi_i(t) \right|^p dt \\ &= \left\| f - \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \phi_i \right\|_{L^p}^p. \end{aligned}$$

If we use the notation in [1],

$$\|g\|_{L^2, W}^2 = \int_T g^2(t) W(t) dt,$$

then, for $p \geq 2$,

$$y^T H(x) y = p(p-1) \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \phi_i \right\|_{L^2, W}^2$$

where $W = |f - \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \phi_i|^{p-2}$.

Let $\beta = p - 1$, $g_k = \sum_{i=1}^n (x_k)_i \phi_i$, and $h_k = g_k + \sum_{i=1}^n (y_k)_i \phi_i$. Then (2) is equivalent to the statement that h_k is the best L^2 approximation to f with respect to the weight $W_k = |f - g_k|^{p-2}$, while (4) is equivalent to choosing λ_k so as to minimize $\|f - g_k - \lambda(h_k - g_k)\|_{L^p}$.

This is the algorithm described in [1].

REFERENCES

1. L. A. KARLOVITZ, Construction of nearest points in the L^p , p even, and L^∞ norms. I, *J. Approximation Theory* 3 (1970), 123-127.

CHARLES MICCHELLI

IBM T. J. Watson Research Center
Yorktown Heights, New York

Printed in Belgium